

Request - FOI 7006

1. How much money is the council owed by developers under Section 106 agreements agreed for the years 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012? Please provide details of what this outstanding money was promised for – for example parks, social housing etc.
2. How much money has been received in Section 106 money for the years 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012? Please break down by year and payments.
3. How much section 106 money which has been received by the council has been spent for the years 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012. Please give details if possible of what this money has been spent on.
4. How much section of 106 money which has been received by the council has not been spent on the agreed projects for the years 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012.
5. Please provide details of the five largest 106 agreements the council has made between 2007 and the present day made including:

The amount agreed in the Section 106 agreement, the date of the agreement, what date it was agreed the money would be paid to the council and what it was agreed this money should be spent on. The details of developer and the address of the development the agreement relates to. Whether, this money has been received by the council. Whether this money has been spent by the council and what it has been spent on if it has been spent.

6. Does the council currently run a section 106 agreement monitoring database?

Response - FOI 7006

Due to the volume of documents contained within this response, it has not been possible to publish all the documents on our website. If you require further information on this, please contact the Corporate Information Governance Team quoting the request reference number.

Telephone: 0121 303 4876

Email: FOI.Mailbox@birmingham.gov.uk

Address: Corporate Information Management Team, Performance & Information Division, PO Box 16266, Birmingham, B2 2YY

We do employ a S106 monitoring database, and this records all S106 agreements individually. However, due to the sheer number of agreements signed each year, we only monitor more general figures (like those you have requested) annually, and by financial year. I hope this information is still useful for you.

It is important to note that S106 funds are constantly fluctuating with new monies banked, funds spent and interest earned. On average, the majority of S106 monies have a five year expiry deadline, so monies received can be allocated according to local priorities following consultation with local residents and members, in line with the spend description contained within the legal agreement. As part of this consultation process, there will be a time lag between funds received and funds spent hence the appearance of spending more than was received through S106 income (i.e. it's possible that funds received in 2006 may not be spent until 2011.). In addition, as per the legal agreement, each S106 is banked in an interest bearing account, therefore earning interest to be spent on those projects funded through S106. The funds are spent on many different projects, and to list them all by year would take the request over the 18 hour limit. The majority of our S106 expenditure relates to parks and recreation improvements, affordable housing provision, education/schools improvements, improvements to the public realm and highway/traffic improvements.

I have also included annual figures showing the value of S106 agreements *signed* each year. Again, there will be a time lag between these agreements being signed and funds received as S106 funds are usually due upon implementation (start on site) and most planning permission lasts for three years. However, if development doesn't begin within that time, the S106 becomes void and the funds will not be received. In addition, if the planning permission period is about to lapse, it can be renewed with a new application and this may mean signing a new S106 so there is some duplication in the figures representing value of agreements signed (however please note this does not mean duplicate payments). Similarly, it is possible for there to be more than one approved planning permission and associated S106 signed for the same site, so this will also lead to some duplication.